

Decs of Extrap

1/7/69

Extrap from NP  
VP Del, otherwise we'd get

\* The boy finally did who wanted to leave

Therefore, when we extrapose, the S must hang down from S, so that we can get

some bagels were served to us which Mary had cooked up

and some will be, which I'm working on

because otherwise served to us wouldn't be a constituent here

How about in object position? I think it must be in the VP

\* He explained the theorem to me which you had proved, and Ed will try to which he thinks is wrong

Q + A - Pronoun Switch

1/7/69

we hurt you — we excl

Did we hurt you? Ans Yes you did  
\*we

we hurt him — we incl

Did we hurt him — Yes we did  
you  
also OK

( $\phi + A$ )

1/7/69

A. If in assertion I good, then in answer You good  
you bad, I bad

B. and conversely

A.  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \underline{I} \\ * \underline{you} \end{array} \right\}$  feel tired  $\Rightarrow$   $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} * \underline{Do I} \\ \underline{Do you} \end{array} \right\}$  feel tired?

B. \* I'm attractive to you  $\Rightarrow$  Am I attractive to you?  
You're attractive to me  $\Rightarrow$  \* Are you attractive to me?

5 Deletion + Split it

1/7/69

App Max, who doesn't realize it, lives in a brothel

Rest \* The man                      u                      u

gen But: Only people who don't realize it have bad breath

\* That Bill regrets it is odd

Actions

12/70

Bill can either run or jump - I forget which

Bill may either have cancer or be making ping -

I can't tell which

for to Modifiers

12/71

Hofmann's argument against getting A knife to VP

via whiz → is that the source for I know a man to see

But this must be different anyway, because of

\* I know a man for seeing

Dominoes + QB

12/24/70

Did you tell anybody whether anybody was here

some

MB — Dominoes is only w/i  
islands

Some - any + Domains

12/16/70

W/i clauses, it's certainly left → right

I didn't talk to  
anyone about  
[some] wars  
[any] wars  
\* I didn't tell  
someone  
about any  
wars

Maybe (OBLIG) w/ CMates, OPT across clauses,  
No  
Does anybody have [some] money?  
[any] money?

like gapping

CM

\* Will someone give anything to X?  
? Will anyone give something to [someone] / [anyone] ?  
Will anyone give anything to [any students / anyone / ?? someone / \*some students] ?

NB:  
It's only if there  
was a some which  
could have  
changed and  
didn't that it blocks

Will [anyone] say that [anyone] is sick?  
[you] [someone]

NB!!

Will [everyone] say that [someone] is sick?  
[someone] [\*anyone]

Not left → right across clauses:

I didn't think that buying something would be difficult for anyone



Some - any and Quantifiers

12/16/70

Is this the same as the thesis stuff

about everybody S ?  
anybody /  
any

I don't want any pictures of anybody  
? sombody  
some couples  
\* some pictures of anybody

Migod! It seems to be something about  
quantified NP's

I don't claim that everybody bought anything  
many anybody  
you  
\* a few people  
\* 100 men

Some - any and pronouns


12/16/70

I didn't  
tell nobody

about

Sam's having  
sex with any

I told Betty



I didn't tell Sam that she had bought anything.  
(he i)

I didn't tell everybody that she had bought anything

So: there are 2 groups of NP's:

	<u>Indefinites</u>		<u>Others</u>	
people	any	Sam	a few	100 men
	many	he	everybody	
	the subject	the 100 men	several	who
			someone	

"Rule": an unbroken chain of indefinites in Fr

same - any and Downies

I didn't tell\* (the) 100 men that I had seen anyone 12/16/70

who accused { whom } of eating { something }  
                   { everybody }                    { \*anything }

Tom of eating anything

Now: If this is the same as gaffing,  
 I predict a difference between these:

John inspected 100 houses in 1969 and Bill in 1968

? John inspected the 100 houses in 1969, and Bill in 1968

Yes - I think there is

Q's + Disjunction

12/7/70

I { <sup>a.</sup> don't know } when either Tom or Bill left  
      { <sup>b.</sup> \*know }

This has a source w/ 2 know's -

if this is good at all, it does not have such a source.

(Conjunction Reduction)

12/2/70

Tom and I had opportunities to lick Petra + Floogie)  
\*an opportunity

resp

This suggests successive reductions,  
to say nothing of Swasbery.

Inclusion Constraint and also  
Repetition of conjuncts

11/30/70

\* We left and so did I

NB: \*I am adult and  
I am alive

\* I ate peas and I also ate vegetables

\* We didn't leave and I didn't either - argues that  
either = also

Can this be made the same fact  
as \*We understand me?

Like suppose understand is composed of 2 1-plecers.  
And how does this relate to?

For a spinster to broil trout is for a woman to cook  
fish